



Is this your first SAN meeting?




■ YES ■ NO

What was your role at the meeting?




■ Attendee ■ Poster or Short talk Presenter
 ■ Workshop Presenter ■ Conference or symposium chair
 ■ Organizer ■ Speaker

Age




■ Under 30 ■ 30-34 ■ 35-44
 ■ 45-54 ■ Over 65

Gender




■ Male ■ Female

Primary Position/Occupation




■ PhD Student ■ Postdoc ■ Other
 ■ Professor ■ Research Scientific-Investigator

Are you receiving a scholarship from SAN?




■ YES ■ NO

The conference covered current research




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral

My scientific expectations for this meeting were met




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral

Overall rating for scientific content




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

How did the scientific value of this conference compare to other scientific conferences you have attended?




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ Did not assist


Overall quality of symposia content



Overall quality of plenary speakers' presentation content




Overall scientific quality of posters/short talks




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor

Overall quality of SAN-ISON symposium on neurogenesis




Presentations provided usable ideas and/or techniques




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree
 ■ Neutral ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree

Time for questions and answers was sufficient




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral

Short talks from abstracts were an excellent addition to the meeting format




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral

Meeting atmosphere was conducive to interactions between students, junior and senior investigators




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree
 ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree

Meeting format and organization were effective




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral

I made a contact at this conference that I anticipate will lead to a collaboration, data or sharing of information, data or techniques



■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral


I learned something specific at the conference that will save me time and/or money, or accelerate my reaching a research objective



■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree ■ Neutral


SAN COURSE 2014 ORGANIZATION

Overall quality of course speaker's presentations




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

The course contents were beneficial for your work




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree
 ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree

How do you rate your participation in course activities




■ Strongly Involved
 ■ Somewhat Involved
 ■ Moderate Involvement
 ■ Modest Involvement

The course contents covered your expectations



■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree
 ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree

Rate the overall quality of the course




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

SAN MEETING 2014 ORGANIZATION


OVERALL MEETING EXPERIENCE

The SAN website was easy to use and informative




■ Strongly Agree ■ Somewhat Agree
 ■ Somewhat Disagree
 ■ Strongly Disagree

Did you feel welcome and engaged?




■ YES ■ NO

Overall Value for Cost Registration




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

Lodging at Casa Serrana Hotel




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

Food




Overall rating of conference value (lodging and food versus total cost)




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

Overall rating of accommodations (i.e., cleanliness, comfort, amenities and services)




■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor

Overall rating of hotel staff



Overall rating of conference facility



■ Excellent ■ Very Good ■ Good
 ■ Fair ■ Poor